

Fractal Wilson Loop - Area Law and Gauge Invariance in Next to Leading Order

D. Allouani² and H. Kröger^{1,2}

¹ *Max Planck Institut für Kernphysik, P.O.B. 10 39 80, D-6900 Heidelberg, Germany*

² *Département de Physique, Université Laval, Québec, Québec G1K 7P4, Canada*

April 1994

Abstract

We consider a fractal Wilson loop $\langle F_P \rangle$ and present physical arguments why this should be a relevant observable in nature. We show for non-compact SU(2) lattice gauge theory in the next to leading order of strong coupling expansion that $\langle F_P \rangle$ obeys an area law behavior and is gauge invariant.

PACS index: 11.15.Ha

1 Motivation

Wilson [1] suggested in 1974 the lattice regularisation of gauge theory in terms of compact link variables. Wilson's main argument was, in order to provide confinement, that the theory should be manifestly gauge invariant already on the classical level. Wilson introduced an order parameter to distinguish the confined phase, the Wilson loop $\langle W_P \rangle$. It corresponds to the amplitude to create a quark-antiquark pair, propagate it and annihilate it somewhere else. In order to relate the Wilson loop to the notion of a quark-antiquark potential, one has to make the assumption that the quarks propagate classically, i.e., they are heavy and they move along smooth (differentiable) curves [2, 3].

One may ask the question: What happens in the case of light quarks, e.g., q_u , q_d , which are also confined according to experiment? In order to decide if a quark is light, one can compare it with the dynamical scale Λ of QCD. Gribov [4] has proposed a confinement mechanism, which is entirely based upon the presence of light quarks. Let us hence consider light quarks. Then there is no reason, why they should propagate on smooth classical trajectories. It is more realistic to assume that its dynamics is governed by quantum fluctuations. What can be said more specifically on the nature of those quantum fluctuations and on the geometry of trajectories?

Let us discuss this using the language of path integrals. From Feynman and Hibbs [5] it is known that in quantum mechanics individual pathes can be viewed as zig-zag lines, which are no-where differentiable. These pathes are fractal curves of Hausdorff dimension two [6]. In imaginary time (Euclidean) quantum mechanics the free motion resembles very much the Brownian motion of a classical particle [7]. It turns out that the average path of a classical particle carrying out a Brownian motion (steps Δx and Δt , such that the diffusion coefficient $D = \frac{1}{2}\Delta x^2/\Delta t$ is finite) is also a fractal curve of Hausdorff dimension two. The Euclidean path integral of imaginary time quantum mechanics corresponds to a Wiener measure, as discussed by Schulman [8]. The case of the free scalar field ϕ is discussed by Itzykson and Drouffe [9]. Quite generally, the measure of Euclidean path integrals gives the dominant contributions coming from field configurations corresponding to pathes of

no-where differentiable curves [10].

Now let us return to the propagator of light quarks. In the view of the above remarks on quantum mechanical pathes, it seems plausible to assume that there will be important contributions due to zig-zag curves. Why do we care about the zig-zag-ness of paths? The answer is: Usually, when computing the quantum expectation value of an observable one simply sums over the paths. However, there may be non-local observables, which are sensitive to the zig-zag-ness. Based on this the concept of a fractal Wilson loop $\langle F_P \rangle$ has been proposed [11, 12]. It might be interesting to do experiments on the foundations of quantum mechanics, e.g., in atomic physics, looking for zig-zag-ness via a suitable observable. To the author's knowledge, no such experiment has been done yet.

In order to understand the reason for a fractal Wilson loop, let us recall the construction of the standard Wilson loop. In order to get a quark-antiquark potential interpretation, one starts to construct the Wilson loop from a meson-meson correlator $\langle 0 | M(x_1, x_2) M^\dagger(y_1, y_2) | 0 \rangle$, where the creation operator with meson quantum numbers is built from a quark-antiquark pair, $M(x, y) = q(x) \exp[ig \int_x^y dz A(z)] \bar{q}(y)$. The standard Wilson loop is obtained by integrating out the fermions, by making the assumption that they behave classically. Thus one ends up with a gluonic path integral, and the observable being $\exp[i g \oint dz_\mu A_\mu(z)]$ along a smooth closed curve. But from the above point of view taking serious the quantum mechanics via path integrals means to sum over fermionic zig-zag lines. Thus we are led to an observable constructed along a fractal curve, i.e., the fractal Wilson loop.

Why do we expect that a fractal Wilson loop might eventually show a behavior different from a standard Wilson loop? A linear confining potential is equivalent to an area law behavior of the Wilson loop. On the other hand, a fractal curve of Hausdorff dimension $d_H = 2$ behaves in a way as a two dimensional surface. Thus a fractal Wilson loop defined along a curve of Hausdorff dimension two might display an area law behavior.

If the concept of a fractal Wilson loop is to taken serious, it has to fulfil two criteria: (a) area law behavior, (b) gauge invariance. In Ref. [11] it has been shown to lowest order of

the strong coupling expansion that both criteria are fulfilled for $SU(2)$ non-compact lattice action. More precisely, although the classical action and the classical fractal Wilson loop F_P are not gauge invariant, the quantum mechanical expectation value $\langle F_P \rangle$ is gauge invariant. One should note that numerical lattice simulations of the standard Wilson loop, using the non-compact $SU(2)$ action have not given an area law, but a perimeter law behavior as has been observed by several workers [13].

As pointed out, for non-compact $SU(2)$ action there is a distinction between standard and fractal Wilson loop, at least for lowest order of strong coupling. Now we want to make one step further and present new calculations extending the result of an area law behavior and gauge invariance of the fractal Wilson loop to the next to leading order.

2 Fractal Wilson loop $\langle F_P \rangle$

In order to get a mathematically well defined geometry, we choose a construction employing self-similarity, in a way such that in the classical continuum limit $a \rightarrow 0$ the fractal Wilson loop coincides with the standard Wilson loop. The construction of F_P is given in Ref.[11]. We present the basic notation. We denote the link between two neighboring sites ij and a plaquette between four neighboring sites $ijkl$ by

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ij} &= \exp[ig\Delta x_\mu A_\mu^a(\text{center } ij)\tau^a], \\ V_{ijkl} &= \exp[ig\Delta\sigma_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^a(\text{center } ijkl)\tau^a]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

One has the relation

$$V_{ijkl} = U_{ij}U_{jk}U_{kl}U_{li} + O((\Delta x)^4). \quad (2)$$

The fractal Wilson loop F_P is obtained from a standard Wilson loop W_P by growing 'branches of links' into the interior, and whenever possible, converting four neighboring sequential links U into a plaquette V . Thus one arrives at well defined ordered product of links and plaquettes

$$F_P = Tr \left[p.o. + s.o. \prod_{\partial P_{fract}} \prod_{P_{fract}} \{U, V\} \right], \quad (3)$$

where P_{fract} is the surface covered by the elementary plaquettes ($\square$) and ∂P_{fract} is the interior boundary covered by links ($-$) (see Fig.[1]). Simple geometrical considerations show, when $a/L \rightarrow 0$, that the area of P_{fract} obeys $A_{P_{fract}}/A_P \rightarrow 2/3$, and that ∂P_{fract} is a fractal curve with fractal dimension $D_{fract} = 2$.

3 Area law behavior

We consider the functional integral over the gauge field, using rescaled field variables $\vec{B}_\mu = \sqrt{g}a\vec{A}_\mu$, $\vec{f}_{\mu\nu} = a^2\vec{F}_{\mu\nu}$. We define $\epsilon = 1/\sqrt{g}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{f}_{\mu\nu} &= \vec{f}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \epsilon \vec{f}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}, \\ \vec{f}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} &= -\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu, \\ \vec{f}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} [\vec{B}_\nu(x + ae_\mu) - \vec{B}_\nu(x - ae_\mu)] - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu).\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

In the following we keep g fixed and expand action and observable in terms of ϵ .

$$\begin{aligned}S &= S^{(0)} + \epsilon S^{(1)} + O(\epsilon^2), \\ S^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x,\mu,\nu} (\vec{f}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}(x))^2, \\ S^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,\mu,\nu} \vec{f}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}(x) \cdot \vec{f}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x).\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

In Ref. [11] by expanding the fractal Wilson loop in ϵ we have obtained to lowest order the following result,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_P^{(0)} \rangle &= \frac{1}{Z} \left[\int d\vec{B}_1 \cdots d\vec{B}_4 \cos[\sqrt{g} |\vec{B}_1|] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu,\nu} (\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu)^2 \right) \right]^N \\ &\times \left[\int d\vec{B}_1 \cdots d\vec{B}_4 \cos[g |\vec{B}_1 \times \vec{B}_2|] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu,\nu} (\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu)^2 \right) \right]^M \\ Z &= \left[\int d\vec{B}_1 \cdots d\vec{B}_4 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu,\nu} (\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu)^2 \right) \right]^{N+M},\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where N is the number of links in ∂P_{fract} and M is the number of plaquettes in P_{fract} . Now going to next order in ϵ the calculation gives

$$\langle F_P \rangle = \langle F_P^{(0)} \rangle + \epsilon \langle F_P^{(1)} \rangle + O(\epsilon^2),\tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle F_P^{(1)} \rangle &= \frac{1}{Z} \int d\vec{B}_1 \cdots d\vec{B}_4 \left[\vec{B}_1 \right] \sin[\sqrt{g} \left| \vec{B}_1 \right| / 2] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu} (\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu)^2 \right) \\
&\times \int d\vec{B}_1 \cdots d\vec{B}_4 \frac{N}{4} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \left| \vec{B}_1 \times \vec{B}_2 \right| \sin[g \left| \vec{B}_1 \times \vec{B}_2 \right| / 2] + \sqrt{g} \cos[g \left| \vec{B}_1 \times \vec{B}_2 \right| / 2] \right] \\
&\times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu} (\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu)^2 \right) \\
&\times \left[\int d\vec{B}_1 \cdots d\vec{B}_4 \cos[\sqrt{g} \left| \vec{B}_1 \right|] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu} (\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu)^2 \right) \right]^{N-1} \\
&\times \left[\int d\vec{B}_1 \cdots d\vec{B}_4 \cos[g \left| \vec{B}_1 \times \vec{B}_2 \right|] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu} (\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{B}_\nu)^2 \right) \right]^{M-1} \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\langle F_P \rangle$ up to first order in ϵ behaves as

$$\langle F_P \rangle = \text{const} \times \exp[-\lambda M - \delta N] \xrightarrow{a/L \rightarrow 0} \text{const} \times \exp[-(\lambda + \delta) \frac{2}{3} \frac{A_P}{a^2}], \quad (9)$$

i.e., an area law behavior.

4 Gauge invariance

Now we want to show that $\langle F_P \rangle$ is gauge invariant also to first order in ϵ . In terms of the rescaled variables, under a local gauge transformation $G(x) = \exp[i\vec{\chi}(x) \cdot \vec{\tau}]$, the gauge field transforms according to

$$B_\mu(x) \rightarrow B'_\mu(x) = G(x) B_\mu(x) G^{-1}(x) - i\epsilon G(x) \left[G^{-1}(x + ae_\mu) - G^{-1}(x - ae_\mu) \right]. \quad (10)$$

This can be expressed as

$$\vec{B}_\mu(x) \rightarrow \vec{B}'_\mu(x) = O(x) \vec{B}_\mu(x) - \epsilon \vec{R}_\mu(x), \quad (11)$$

where O is a rotation matrix and $\vec{R}$ is a translation vector in color space. Both, O and $\vec{R}$, depend on $G(x)$. Its explicit dependence can be computed, but it is not relevant for the following considerations. The fractal Wilson loop has been computed up to first order in ϵ in eqs.(7,8). Analyzing the individual terms, one finds that they are given by a

functional integral with the measure $[\prod dB_\mu^a(x)]$ such that the integrands depend only on the modulus of the fields (it does not contain, e.g., products of fields corresponding to different lattice sites). The integration domain can be chosen spherically symmetric $|\vec{B}_\mu(x)| \leq M$. Consequently, the functional integral is invariant under any rotation. Thus up to first order, $\langle F_P \rangle$ is invariant under rotations, i.e., the first part of the gauge transformation.

Now let us consider the second part, i.e., the translation. Evidently, $\epsilon \langle F_P \rangle^{(1)}$ is already of first order, thus a translation of the gauge fields by $\epsilon \vec{R}_\mu$ does not change anything to first order. So finally let us look at $\langle F_P^{(0)} \rangle$ under the translation. Let us consider a one-dimensional integral

$$\langle f \rangle = \int_{-m}^{+m} dx f(x), \quad (12)$$

and a translation $f(x) \xrightarrow{T} f(x + \delta)$,

$$\langle f^T \rangle = \int_{-m}^{+m} dx f(x + \delta) = \langle f \rangle + \delta f(m) - \delta f(-m) + O(\delta^2). \quad (13)$$

Under the assumption that f is symmetric at the boundaries, $f(m) = f(-m)$, one has $\langle f^T \rangle = \langle f \rangle + O(\delta^2)$, i.e., invariance to first order. The integrands of the functional integrals $F^{(0)}, F^{(1)}$ are rotationally invariant, hence also symmetric at the boundaries. This establishes that $\langle F_P^{(0)} \rangle$ is also invariant under the translations given by eqs.(12,13). Hence in summary, the fractal Wilson loop $\langle F_P \rangle$ is invariant under local gauge transformations also in first order of the expansion parameter ϵ .

Acknowledgement H.K. is grateful to J. Polonyi, H. Markum, I. Stamatescu and V. Branchina for helpful discussions. H.K. was supported by NSERC Canada and FCAR Québec.

References

- [1] K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 2445.
- [2] M. Bander, Phys. Rep. 75 (1981) 205.
- [3] J.B. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys. 55 (1983) 775.
- [4] V. Gribov, Phys. Lett. B194 (1987) 119.
- [5] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).
- [6] L.F. Abbot and M.B. Wise, Am. J. Phys. 49 (1981) 37.
- [7] E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. 150 (1966) 1079.
- [8] L.S. Schulman, Techniques and Applications of Path Integration, Wiley, New York (1981).
- [9] C. Itzykson and J.B. Drouffe, Statistical Field Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge (1989), Vol.I.
- [10] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, Quantum Physics, Springer, New York (1981).
- [11] H. Kröger, Phys. Lett. B 284 (1992) 357.
- [12] H. Kröger, S. Lantagne and K.J.M. Moriarty, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8 (1993) 445.
- [13] A. Patrasciu, E. Seiler and I. Stamatescu, Phys. Lett. B107 (1981) 364; I. Stamatescu, U. Wolff and D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. B225 [FS9] (1983) 377; E. Seiler, I. Stamatescu and D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. B239 (1984) 177; 201.

Figure Caption

Fig.1 Construction of fractal Wilson loop. $L/a=8$.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-lat/9405011v1>